

63-4513/2

Honorable Hale Boggs
House of Representatives
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Boggs:

STAT

Thank you for your letter of 31 May 1963 concerning Mr. []

STAT

STAT

Mr. [] wrote to us in February 1963 regarding his interest in employment with this Agency. We received his complete application forms on 29 April 1963 and these were thoroughly reviewed to determine the possibilities of a suitable opening for him. Mr. [] was advised by our Director of Personnel in a letter dated 20 May 1963 that we do not now have a vacancy commensurate with his qualifications and experience. A copy of our letter to him is enclosed for your information.

STAT

Your interest in writing to me on Mr. [] behalf was appreciated. Although we have found no immediate opportunity for his service with us, we shall keep his application for consideration in meeting future requirements for personnel.

STAT

Sincerely,

John A. McCone
Director

Enclosure

Distribution:

- 0 & 1 - Addressee
- 1 - Signing Official
- 1 - ER
- 1 - Leg Counsel
- 1 - Subject's file w/basic
- 1 - D/Pers chrono w/held

OD/Pers/[]:blh (8 June 1963)

Originator: []

STAT

Acting Director of Personnel

Concur: []

STAT

Legislative Counsel

STAT

430/786

22 63-4681/1/A

11 June 1963

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

The Honorable Frank Church
United States Senate
Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator:

This letter will acknowledge yours of June 4th with the very interesting attached memorandum summarizing your discussions with Mr. Georgi M. Kornienko of the Soviet Embassy.

I regret the delay in my response; however, your letter was received in our mailing room on Friday, June 7th, and did not reach my desk until Monday morning. This was because the Staff wished to analyze the memorandum of your discussion with Mr. Kornienko and submit their comments to me.

I feel you made a very good point in your discussion on the test ban agreement when you indicated to Mr. Kornienko that it is the United States Government which has "bent all the way" in this negotiation. You will recall, as I do through my experience as Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission from 1958 to 1961, that the Soviets originally agreed to on-site inspection and also to the establishment in the Soviet Union of 21 internationally-manned control posts. Although the number of inspections was never agreed to, nor was it specifically discussed, the principle of on-site inspection was recognized by the Soviets through the early years of negotiation. It seems to me that they have made no great concession in agreeing to 2 or 3 on-site inspections each year in view of the prior understandings on this subject.

I was very much interested in Mr. Kornienko's statements concerning the Senate Resolution. This Resolution seemed very reasonable and his statement that it would work to the Soviets' disadvantage militarily indicates that their concept of war must

(EXECUTIVE SECRETARY FILE)

EST 1984 S 11 ml

be a mass annihilation of people and cities through the use of very large nuclear weapons rather than an attempt to confine their attack to military installations, SAC bases, missile bases, etc. This is consistent with other Soviet statements which reflect their current policy toward the conduct of a nuclear war.

Mr. Korienko's views on the multilateral force are repetitious of statements made by others and I compliment you on your rejoinder that neither he nor any other Soviet spokesman will admit that they have built a massive medium range missile capability which has the power to utterly destroy Western Europe and Great Britain. This is legitimate from their standpoint, but any move made by the West to counter this massive threat brings on most violent statements and complaints from their side. I think this points up the illegitimacy of the arguments which Mr. Korienko advanced to you.

With respect to the attempt on the part of your office to hand-deliver the letter to me at our downtown office, which, according to the morning paper, created some problems, I am having a thorough investigation made by our Director of Security and will report to you when these findings are completed. In the meantime I very much appreciate your letter and the attachment.

Yours very truly,

Signed

John A. McCone
Director

JAM/msfb:bd (11 Jun 63)

Orig - Addressee - *handcarried by ogc*

1 - DCI Chrono

1 - DCI alpha

1 - OGC

① - ER

Executive Registry

63-451312

12 JUN 1963

Honorable Hale Boggs
House of Representatives
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Boggs:

Thank you for your letter of 31 May 1963 concerning Mr. []

Mr. [] wrote to us in February 1963 regarding his interest in employment with this Agency. We received his completed application forms on 29 April 1963 and these were thoroughly reviewed to determine the possibilities of a suitable opening for him. Mr. [] was advised by our Director of Personnel in a letter dated 10 May 1963 that we do not now have a vacancy commensurate with his qualifications and experience. A copy of our letter to him is enclosed for your information.

Your interest in writing to me on Mr. []' behalf was appreciated. Although we have found no immediate opportunity for his service with us, we shall keep his application for consideration in meeting future requirements for personnel.

Sincerely,

(Signed) JOHN A. McCONE

John A. McCone
Director

Enclosure

Distribution:

- 0 & 1 - Addressee
 - 1 - Signing Official
 - 1 - ER
 - 1 - Leg Counsel
 - 1 - Subject's file w/basic
 - 1 - D/Pers chrono w/held
- OD/Pers/[]:blh (8 June 1963)

Originator []

Acting Director of Personnel

Concur: []

Legislative Counsel

11 JUN 1963

(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE)

22 63-4681/1/A

11 June 1963

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

The Honorable Frank Church
United States Senate
Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator:

This letter will acknowledge yours of June 4th with the very interesting attached memorandum summarizing your discussions with Mr. Georgi M. Kornienko of the Soviet Embassy.

I regret the delay in my response; however, your letter was received in our mailing room on Friday, June 7th, and did not reach my desk until Monday morning. This was because the Staff wished to analyze the memorandum of your discussion with Mr. Kornienko and submit their comments to me.

I feel you made a very good point in your discussion on the test ban agreement when you indicated to Mr. Kornienko that it is the United States Government which has "bent all the way" in this negotiation. You will recall, as I do through my experience as Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission from 1958 to 1961, that the Soviets originally agreed to on-site inspection and also to the establishment in the Soviet Union of 21 internationally-manned control posts. Although the number of inspections was never agreed to, nor was it specifically discussed, the principle of on-site inspection was recognized by the Soviets through the early years of negotiation. It seems to me that they have made no great concession in agreeing to 2 or 3 on-site inspections each year in view of the prior understandings on this subject.

I was very much interested in Mr. Kornienko's statements concerning the Senate Resolution. This Resolution seemed very reasonable and his statement that it would work to the Soviets' disadvantage militarily indicates that their concept of war must

(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE Coney)

430767

11 ml
S 11 ml

be a mass annihilation of people and cities through the use of very large nuclear weapons rather than an attempt to confine their attack to military installations, SAC bases, missile bases, etc. This is consistent with other Soviet statements which reflect their current policy toward the conduct of a nuclear war.

Mr. Korienko's views on the multilateral force are repetitious of statements made by others and I compliment you on your rejoinder that neither he nor any other Soviet spokesman will admit that they have built a massive medium range missile capability which has the power to utterly destroy Western Europe and Great Britain. This is legitimate from their standpoint, but any move made by the West to counter this massive threat brings on most violent statements and complaints from their side. I think this points up the illegitimacy of the arguments which Mr. Korienko advanced to you.

With respect to the attempt on the part of your office to hand-deliver the letter to me at our downtown office, which, according to the morning paper, created some problems, I am having a thorough investigation made by our Director of Security and will report to you when these findings are completed. In the meantime I very much appreciate your letter and the attachment.

Yours very truly,

signed

John A. McCone
Director

70M 52
0 20
MA 02
03

JAM/mfb:bd (11 Jun 63)

Orig - Addressee - *handcarried by OGC*

1 - DCI Chrono

1 - DCI alpha

1 - OGC

1 - ER